

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/699,301		10/31/2003	John M. Raterman	NOR-1152	3926		
37172	72 7590 06/29/2006			EXAMINER			
•		& EVANS, LLP (N	WILKENS, JANET MARIE				
2700 CAREV 441 VINE ST		R		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CINCINNATI, OH 45202				3637			

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
10/699,301		RATERMAN ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Janet M. Wilkens	3637		

	Janet M. Wilkens		3637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover she	et with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDI	TION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to this application, applicant must timely file one of the places the application in condition for allowance; (2 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in com- time periods:	or on the same day as filing following replies: (1) an arr a Notice of Appeal (with ap	g a Notice of a nendment, affi opeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date on event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired. 	f this Advisory Action, or (2) the			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See M	(a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX	-	•	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date is set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1. NOTICE OF APPEAL	e date on which the petition und d of extension and the correspo of the shortened statutory perio be later than three months after	onding amount of d for reply origi	of the fee. The appropri nally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	y extension thereof (37 CFR	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final reje	ction, but prior to the date of	f filing a brief	will not be entered by	ecause
(a) ⊠ They raise new issues that would require furth		•		ccause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE		(*****		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by	materially red	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding numbe	r of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CF	R 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CF	R 1.121. See attached Noti	ce of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would	be allowable if submitted in	n a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(how the new or amended claims would be rejected The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	s): a) ⊠ will not be entered is provided below or append	I, or b) □ wil ded.	l be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: 1-14.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of gowas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of entered because the affidavit or other evidence faile showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	ed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections essary and was not earlier p	s under appea presented. Se	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	Is to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An expla REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	anation of the status of the c	claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ied.
11. The request for reconsideration has been consider	ed but does NOT place the	application in	condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1			
13.		0+m	aled.	
		JANETA	M. WILKENS	
			EXAMINER	
			7363)	
		17~1	3ペ ントコノ	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: Namely, instead of the base only needing one sidewall, the proposed amendment would require it to need four.